

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gcv

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/703,718 | 11/02/2000 | Takeshi Kusudou | 199178USO | 2139 | |
| 22850 | 7590 05/29/2003 | | | | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 1940 DUKE ALEXANDI | STREET RIA, VA 22314 | | DERRINGTO | DERRINGTON, JAMES H | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1731 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 7 | Application No. | A | - mu | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| , - | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/703,718 | KUSUDOU ET AL. | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | James Derrington | 1731 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence addi | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this ap | oplication. A proper rep | oly to a | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing db The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF the on which the petition under 37 CFF sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set. | Ite of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate extent in the final Office action: or (| ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 April 2003. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | pellant's Brief must be filed w R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss | ithin the period set forth | n in | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or searc | ch (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by n | naterially reducing or si | mplifying the | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number | of finally rejected claim | 1S . | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in | a separate, timely filed | amendment | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | r reconsideration has been co | onsidered but does NO | T place the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLE | LY to issues which were | e newly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered o ould be rejected is provided b | r b)⊡ will be entered a below or appended. | ind an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a) approved or b) disa | approved by the Exami | ner. | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/703,718

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment raises new issues because the amendment if entered would make claims 11-12, 14-15, 16-17 and 19-20 dependent on a canceled claim. Additionally the incorporation of claim 2 into claim 1 would raise new issues with regard to the grounds of rejection of claims 3 and 5 previously dependent on claim 1 but not the combination of limitations of claims 1 and 2 as would be required by the proposed amendment. Similarly a new issue is raised regarding the rejection of claim 8 previously dependent on claim 6 but dependent on a combination of features recited in claims 6-7 if the amendment was entered.

JAMES DERRINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 137 / 73 /